EQUINOX GOLD

Mineral Reserves & Mineral Resources

AUGUST 2026

EQUINOXGOLD

EQUINOX GOLD CONSOLIDATED GOLD PROVEN & PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES[1]

Gold Reserves	Proven			Probable			Proven & Probable		
Mine/Project, Location	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained gold (koz)	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained gold (koz)	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained gold (koz)
Greenstone, Canada	6,900	0.75	164	172,500	0.93	5,169	179,300	0.93	5,334
Valentine, Canada	22,096	1.87	1,330	29,394	1.50	1,418	51,490	1.66	2,748
Musselwhite, Canada	4,254	5.76	788	4,465	4.64	666	8,719	5.18	1,453
Mesquite, USA	1,843	0.63	37	20,515	0.36	238	22,358	0.38	275
Castle Mountain, USA	81,398	0.57	1,485	162,410	0.50	2,620	243,808	0.52	4,105
South Railroad, USA	10,585	1.04	354	56,033	0.65	1,162	66,618	0.71	1,516
Los Filos, Mexico	35,453	0.77	877	157,773	0.88	4,477	193,226	0.86	5,354
Camino Rojo, Mexico	2,920	0.73	68	28,794	0.75	690	31,714	0.74	759
Nicaragua Operations	–	–	–	9,062	4.01	1,169	9,062	4.01	1,169
Total Proven & Probable	**165,450**	**0.96**	**5,103**	**640,945**	**0.85**	**17,609**	**806,295**	**0.88**	**22,713**

1. See *Cautionary Notes* and *Technical Disclosure*. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

EQUINOX GOLD CONSOLIDATED SILVER PROVEN & PROBABLE MINERAL RESERVES[1]

Silver Reserves	Proven			Probable			Proven & Probable		
Mine/Project, Location	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained silver (koz)	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained silver (koz)	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained silver (koz)
South Railroad, USA	2,113	6.56	445	35,779	5.00	5,749	37,892	5.08	6,195
Camino Rojo, Mexico	2,920	18.07	1,697	28,794	14.67	13,584	31,714	14.99	15,281
Nicaragua Operations	–	–	–	9,062	15.87	4,624	9,062	15.87	4,624
Total Proven & Probable	**5,033**	**13.24**	**2,142**	**73,635**	**10.12**	**23,957**	**78,668**	**10.32**	**26,100**

1. See *Cautionary Notes* and *Technical Disclosure*. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

EQUINOXGOLD

EQUINOX GOLD CONSOLIDATED GOLD MEASURED & INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES[1,2]

Gold Resources	Measured			Indicated			Measured & Indicated		
Mine/Project, Location	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained gold (koz)	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained gold (koz)	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained gold (koz)
Greenstone, Canada	22	0.51	0	53,949	1.71	2,966	53,970	1.71	2,966
Valentine, Canada	6,428	1.18	243	22,961	1.25	926	29,389	1.24	1,169
Musselwhite, Canada	2,315	4.02	299	5,357	3.31	569	7,672	3.52	869
Brookbank, Canada	–	–	–	9,046	2.45	713	9,046	2.45	713
Kailey, Canada	–	–	–	12,038	0.60	231	12,038	0.60	231
Key Lake, Canada	–	–	–	7,738	0.82	205	7,738	0.82	205
Hasaga, Canada	–	–	–	1,470	8.64	408	1,470	8.64	408
Mesquite, USA	6,701	0.51	109	76,573	0.40	982	83,274	0.41	1,091
Castle Mountain, USA	781	0.68	17	73,452	0.62	1,453	74,234	0.62	1,470
South Railroad, USA	3,023	0.48	47	36,264	0.77	896	39,287	0.75	943
Golden Eagle, USA	30,700	1.49	1,500	14,700	1.16	500	45,400	1.37	2,000
Los Filos, Mexico	47,306	1.15	1,757	278,020	0.69	6,140	325,326	0.75	7,897
Camino Rojo, Mexico	341	0.70	8	58,162	2.24	4,180	58,503	2.23	4,187
Nicaragua Operations	–	–	–	14,015	2.00	904	14,015	2.00	904
Total Measured & Indicated	**97,616**	**1.27**	**3,980**	**663,745**	**0.99**	**21,073**	**761,362**	**1.02**	**25,052**

1. **Resources are exclusive of Reserves.** 2. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. See *Cautionary Notes* and *Technical Disclosure*. Numbers may not sum due to rounding

EQUINOX GOLD CONSOLIDATED SILVER MEASURED & INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES[1,2]

Silver Resources	Measured			Indicated			Measured & Indicated		
Mine/Project, Location	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained silver (koz)	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained silver (koz)	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained silver (koz)
South Railroad,USA	503	3.93	64	12,283	2.95	1,166	12,786	2.99	1,229
Camino Rojo, Mexico	341	9.34	102	58,162	10.87	20,326	58,503	10.86	20,428
Nicaragua Operations	–	–	–	14,015	4.33	1,952	14,015	4.33	1,952
Total Measured & Indicated	**844**	**6.10**	**166**	**84,460**	**8.63**	**23,444**	**85,304**	**8.61**	**23,609**

1. **Resources are exclusive of Reserves.** 2. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. See *Cautionary Notes* and *Technical Disclosure*. Numbers may not sum due to rounding

EQUINOXGOLD

EQUINOX GOLD CONSOLIDATED ZINC MEASURED & INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES[1,2]

	Measured			Indicated			Measured & Indicated		
Mine/Project, Location	**Tonnage (kt)**	**Grade (% Zn)**	**Zinc (Mlbs)**	**Tonnage (kt)**	**Grade (% Zn)**	**Zinc (Mlbs)**	**Tonnage (kt)**	**Grade (% Zn)**	**Zinc (Mlbs)**
Camino Rojo, Mexico	–	–	–	48,178	0.38%	403	48,178	0.38%	403
Total Measured & indicated	–	–	–	**48,178**	**0.38%**	**403**	**48,178**	**0.38%**	**403**

1. **Resources are exclusive of Reserves.** 2. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. See *Cautionary Notes* and *Technical Disclosure*. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

EQUINOX GOLD CONSOLIDATED GOLD INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES[1]

Gold Resources	**Inferred**		
Mine/Project, Location	**Tonnage (kt)**	**Grade (g/t Au)**	**Contained gold (koz)**
Greenstone, Canada	31,182	1.66	1,663
Valentine, Canada	31,989	1.10	1,128
Musselwhite, Canada	4,223	4.06	552
Brookbank, Canada	1,491	2.36	113
Kailey, Canada	7,758	0.55	138
Key Lake, Canada	4,905	1.00	158
Hasaga, Canada	2,059	7.31	484
Mesquite, USA	5,590	0.32	58
Castle Mountain, USA	69,890	0.63	1,422
South Railroad, USA	55,715	0.57	1,013
Golden Eagle, USA	5,400	0.90	200
Los Filos, Mexico	135,935	0.74	3,237
Camino Rojo, Mexico	5,681	2.04	373
Nicaragua Operations	9,180	3.42	1,010
Cerro Aeropuerto, Nicaragua	6,052	3.64	708
Primavera, Nicaragua	44,974	0.54	782
Total Inferred	**422,024**	**0.96**	**13,039**

1. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. See *Cautionary Notes* and *Technical Disclosure*. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

EQUINOX GOLD CONSOLIDATED SILVER INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES[1]

Silver Resources	Inferred		
Mine/Project, Location	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained gold (koz)
South Railroad, USA	1,302	2.65	111
Camino Rojo, Mexico	5,681	11.50	2,100
Nicaragua Operations	9,180	10.98	3,242
Cerro Aeropuerto, Nicaragua	6,052	16.16	3,145
Primavera, Nicaragua	44,974	1.15	1,611
Total Inferred	**67,189**	**4.73**	**10,209**

1. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. See *Cautionary Notes* and *Technical Disclosure*. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

EQUINOX GOLD CONSOLIDATED ZINC INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES[1]

Zinc Resources	Inferred		
Mine/Project, Location	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (% Zn)	Zinc (Mlbs)
Camino Rojo, Mexico	4,045	0.65%	58
Total Inferred	**4,045**	**0.65%**	**58**

1. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. See *Cautionary Notes* and *Technical Disclosure*. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

GREENSTONE GOLD MINE, CANADA
MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Gold (koz)
Proven	6,900	0.75	164
Probable	172,500	0.93	5,169
Proven & Probable Reserves	**179,300**	**0.93**	**5,334**

Notes

1. The Mineral Reserves were prepared by Philippe Lebleu, P.Eng. with an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (2014) was used for reporting of Mineral Reserves.
3. Metallurgical recovery is estimated using a multivariant regression equation to predict leach residue grade.
4. Mineral Reserves are estimated based on a mine plan using a minimum recovered gold cut-off grade of 0.20 g/t Au.
5. Mineral Reserves are estimated using a long-term gold price of $2,100/oz and a USD:CAD exchange rate of 1.33.
6. Average processing costs of 12.2$/t of ore, G&A of 6.2$/t of ore and mining costs of 2.74$/t mined.
7. Mining dilution is modelled by regularization and applying a 3 % factor to the grades.
8. Reserves include 11Mt at 0.51g/t of previously stockpiled ore.
9. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

GREENSTONE GOLD MINE, CANADA
CONSOLIDATED MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	22	0.51	0
Indicated	53,949	1.71	2,966
Measured & Indicated Resources	**53,970**	**1.71**	**2,966**
Inferred Resources	**31,182**	**1.66**	**1,663**

See Notes below.

GREENSTONE GOLD MINE, CANADA
OPEN PIT AND UNDERGROUND MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Open Pit >0.18 g/t Au			Underground >1.10 g/t Au		
Category	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Gold (koz)	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	21	0.51	0	1	0.63	0
Indicated	32,470	1.28	1,335	21,479	2.36	1,631
Total M&I	**32,491**	**1.28**	**1,335**	**21,479**	**2.36**	**1,631**
Inferred	**14,847**	**0.88**	**418**	**16,335**	**2.37**	**1,245**

See Notes below.

Notes

1. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo., and has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. The Mineral Resource estimate was completed in accordance with the CIM Definition Standards (2014) and the CIM Best Practice Guidelines (2019).
3. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
4. Mineral Resources are reported exclusive of Mineral Reserves.
5. Open pit Mineral Resources is reported at a minimum recovered gold cut-off grade of 0.18g/t and is constrained within a pit shell.
6. The cut-off grade and pseudo flow pit shell use a long-term gold price of $2,300/oz, a USD:CAD exchange rate of 1.33, average mining costs of $3.41/t, processing costs of $12.20/t, refining and transportation costs of $3.29/oz of AU recovered and G&A costs of $6.81/t.
7. Underground mineral resources are reported within mineable stopes based on a conceptual mining method at a minimum recovered gold cut-off grade of 1.10g/t.
8. A gold price of $2,300/oz was used to determine the underground cut-off grade, average mining costs of $65.00/t, processing costs of $12.20/t, refining and transportation costs of $3.29/oz of Au recovered, and process sustaining capital costs of $1.20/t.
9. Average metallurgical recovery is estimated using a multivariant regression equation to predict leach residue grade. The averages value for the open pit is 86.4% and underground value is 91%.
10. A royalty rate of 3.0% was assumed.
11. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
12. Except for the risk of the historical underground workings(voids) to the Mineral Resources estimate, the QP is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

VALENTINE GOLD MINE, CANADA
CONSOLIDATED MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

Category	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Proven	22,096	1.87	1,330
Probable	29,394	1.50	1,418
Total Proven & Probable	**51,490**	**1.66**	**2,748**

Notes

1. The Mineral Reserve estimates were prepared by Jeffrey Colden, P.Eng., reported using the CIM (2014) definitions, and have an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. Mineral Reserves are mined tonnes and grade; the reference point is the mill feed at the primary crusher.
3. Mineral Reserves are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.45 g/t gold. Cut-off grade assumes US$2,100/oz gold at a currency exchange rate of US$0.714 per C$1.00; 99.8% payable gold; US$5.00/oz off-site costs (refining and transport); and uses a 93.1% metallurgical recovery.
4. The cut-off grade covers processing costs of C$22.75/t, administrative (G&A) costs of C$14.38/t, and a stockpile rehandle cost of C$1.85/t.
5. Mining loss and dilution is based on diluting the Resource model to a 6 m x 6 m x 6 m model and including additional mining losses estimated for the removal of isolated blocks (surrounded by waste) and low-grade (<0.55 g/t gold) blocks bounded by waste on three sides.
6. Numbers have been rounded as required by reporting guidelines and may not add.
7. The Qualified Person is not aware of any mining, metallurgical, infrastructure, permitting, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Reserve estimate, unless outlined in this report.

VALENTINE GOLD MINE, CANADA
CONSOLIDATED MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	6,428	1.18	243
Indicated	22,961	1.25	926
Measured & Indicated Resources	**29,389**	**1.24**	**1,169**
Inferred Resources	**31,989**	**1.10**	**1,128**

Notes

1. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo., and has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. The Mineral Resource estimate was completed in accordance with the CIM Definition Standards (2014) and the CIM Best Practice Guidelines (2019).
3. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability.
4. Mineral Resources are presented exclusive of Mineral Reserves.
5. Open pit resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.30 g/t gold and are constrained within an optimized pit shell.
6. The optimized pit shell was generated using a gold price of $2,400/oz, a USD:CAD exchange rate of 1.31, average mining and processing costs of $17.4/t, G&A costs of $4.5/t of ore, and refining and transportation costs of $5.3/oz of recovered gold.
7. Underground mineral resources are reported within conceptual mineable stopes using a cut-off grade of 1.21 g/t gold.
8. A long-term gold price of $2,300/oz, a USD:CAD exchange rate of 1.31, average mining and processing costs of $79.8/t, refining and transportation costs of $5/oz of recovered gold, and process sustaining capital costs of $1.2/t were used for the underground cut-off grade calculation.
9. Underground stope sizes were on an average strike length of 5 m, a mining height of 3 m, and a stope width corresponding to the full extent of the modelled mineralized zone.
10. The average metallurgical recovery is 95% and a royalty rate of 3.0% is assumed.
11. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.
12. The Qualified Person is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

EQUINOXGOLD

VALENTINE GOLD MINE, CANADA
MINERAL RESERVES AND MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Proven & Probable Reserves	**51,490**	**1.66**	**2,748**
Marathon	22,221	1.56	1,113
Leprechaun	15,425	1.81	898
Berry	13,864	1.65	736
Proven Reserves	22,096	1.87	1,330
Marathon	11,829	1.68	640
Leprechaun	5,746	2.11	389
Berry	4,521	2.07	301
Probable Reserves	29,394	1.50	1,418
Stockpile	1,563	0.92	46
Marathon	9,988	1.43	459
Leprechaun	5,746	1.75	478
Berry	4,521	1.45	435
Measured & Indicated Resources (Exclusive of Reserves)	**29,389**	**1.24**	**1,169**
Leprechaun	4,895	1.30	205
Sprite	1,275	1.20	51
Berry	8,030	1.20	309
Marathon	13,504	1.20	535
Victory	1,685	1.30	68
Measured Resources	6,428	1.18	243
Leprechaun	910	1.40	42
Sprite	-	-	-
Berry	1,697	1.20	68
Marathon	3,822	1.10	133
Victory	-	-	-
Indicated Resources	22,961	1.25	926
Leprechaun	3,985	1.30	163
Sprite	1,275	1.20	51
Berry	6,333	1.20	242
Marathon	9,682	1.30	402
Victory	1,685	1.30	68
Inferred Resources	**31,989**	**1.10**	**1,128**
Leprechaun	5,637	2.20	197
Sprite	1,624	1.10	55
Berry	6,832	1.00	224
Marathon	14,106	1.10	488
Victory	3,790	1.30	164

Notes

1. The Mineral Reserve estimates were prepared by Jeffrey Colden, P.Eng., reported using the CIM (2014) definitions, with an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. Mineral Reserves are mined tonnes and grade; the reference point is the mill feed at the primary crusher.
3. Mineral Reserves are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.45 g/t gold. Cut-off grade assumes US$2,100/oz gold at a currency exchange rate of US$0.714 per C$1.00; 99.8% payable gold; US$5.00/oz off-site costs (refining and transport); and uses a 93.1% metallurgical recovery.
4. The cut-off grade covers processing costs of C$22.75/t, administrative (G&A) costs of C$14.38/t, and a stockpile rehandle cost of C$1.85/t.
5. Mining loss and dilution is based on diluting the Resource model to a 6 m x 6 m x 6 m model and including additional mining losses estimated for the removal of isolated blocks (surrounded by waste) and low-grade (<0.55 g/t gold) blocks bounded by waste on three sides.
6. Numbers have been rounded as required by reporting guidelines and may not add.
7. The Qualified Person is not aware of any mining, metallurgical, infrastructure, permitting, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Reserve estimate, unless outlined in this report.
8. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo., and has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
9. The Mineral Resource estimate was completed in accordance with the CIM Definition Standards (2014) and the CIM Best Practice Guidelines (2019).
10. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability.
11. Mineral Resources are presented exclusive of Mineral Reserves.
12. Open pit resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.30g/t Au and are constrained within an optimized pit shell.
13. The optimized pit shell was generated using a gold price of $2,400/oz Au, a USD:CAD exchange rate of 1.31, average mining and processing costs of $17.37/t, G&A costs of $4.50/t of ore and refining and transportation costs of $5,34/oz of recovered gold.
14. Underground mineral resources are reported within conceptual mineable stopes using a cut-off grade of 1.21g/t Au.
15. A long-term gold price of $2,300/oz, a USD:CAD exchange rate of 1.31, average mining & processing costs of $79.80/t, refining and transportation costs of $5.0/oz of recovered gold, and process sustaining capital costs of $1.20/t were used for the underground cut-off grade calculation.
16. Underground stope sizes were on an average strike length of 5 m, a mining height of 3 m, and a stope width corresponding to the full extent of the modelled mineralized zone.
17. The average metallurgical recovery is 95% and a royalty rate of 3.0% is assumed.
18. Totals may not sum due to rounding.
19. The QP is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

EQUINOXGOLD

MUSSELWHITE GOLD MINE, CANADA
CONSOLIDATED MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

Category		Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Proven	Sulphide	4,254	5.76	788
Probable	Sulphide	4,465	4.64	666
Total Proven & Probable	**Sulphide**	**8,719**	**5.18**	**1,453**

Notes

1. The Mineral Reserve estimates have been prepared in accordance with the CIM Standards
2. The Mineral Reserve estimate for Musselwhite has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
3. Jack Lawson, P.Eng. of Musselwhite Mine is the qualified person responsible for the Mineral Reserve estimate for Musselwhite Mine
4. Mineral reserves are constrained within stope shapes generated by Deswik Stope Optimizer.
5. Mineral Reserves are reported within stope shapes using cut-off basis with a gold price of US$2,300/oz. and an exchange rate of $CAD1.34/$USD1.00
6. The Mineral Reserves cut-off grade varies by zone. The mineral reserves were estimated using a cut-off grade of not less than 2.80 g/t Au
7. The cut-off grade values account for metal recoveries, refining costs, and royalties.
8. Values are inclusive of mining recovery and dilution. Values are determined as of delivery to the mill and therefore not inclusive of milling recoveries.
9. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in summation differences.
10. The estimate of Mineral Reserves may be materially affected by geology, environment, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.

MUSSELWHITE GOLD MINE, CANADA
CONSOLIDATED MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

Category		Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	Sulphide	2,315	4.02	299
Indicated	Sulphide	5,357	3.31	569
Total Measured & Indicated	**Sulphide**	**7,672**	**3.52**	**869**
Total Inferred Resources	**Sulphide**	**4,223**	**4.06**	**552**

Notes

1. CIM (2014) definitions were followed for estimating Mineral Resources.
2. All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate and therefore numbers may not appear to add precisely. Columns may not sum exactly due to rounding.
3. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. An Inferred Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.
4. The Mineral Resource estimate for Musselwhite has an effective date of December 31, 2025
5. Mark Williams, P.Geo. of Musselwhite Mine is the qualified person responsible for the Mineral Resource estimate for Musselwhite Mine
6. Mineral resources are reported exclusive of mineral reserves.
7. The reference point for the mineral resources is the point of delivery to the process plant (diluted and mine recovered).
8. Mineral resources are constrained within stope shapes generated by Deswik Stope Optimizer.
9. Stope shapes were developed using a gold sales price of US$2,800/oz and an exchange rate of $CAD1.34/$USD1.00
10. The Mineral Resources cut-off grade varies by zone. The Mineral Resources were estimated using a cut-off grade of not less than 2.30 g/t Au
11. Resource estimations were interpolated using Ordinary Kriging (OK).

BROOKBANK, CANADA
MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	-	-	-
Indicated	9,046	2.45	713
Measured & Indicated Resources	**9,046**	**2.45**	**713**
Inferred Resources	**1,491**	**2.36**	**113**

Notes

1. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo., and has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. The Mineral Resource estimate was completed in accordance with the CIM Definition Standards (2014) and the CIM Best Practice Guidelines (2019).
3. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves as they do not have demonstrated economic viability.
4. There are no Mineral Reserves at Brookbank.
5. Open pit Mineral Resources are reported at a minimum recovered gold cut-off grade of 0.18 g/t.
6. Open pit Mineral Resources are constrained within an optimized pit shell using a gold price of $2,300/oz, a USD:CAD exchange rate of 1.33, average mining costs of $3.41/t, processing costs of $12,20/t, incremental ore haulage costs of $13.77/t, refining and transportation costs of 3.29/oz of Au recovered, and G&A costs of $6.81/t.
7. Underground mineral resources are reported within mineable stopes based on a conceptual mining method at a cut-off grade of 1.31g/t.
8. A long-term gold price of US$2,300/oz Au, average mining costs of $65.00/t, processing costs of $12.20/t, a cost of $13.77/t for incremental ore haulage, and refining and transportation costs of $3.29/oz of Au recovered were used to determine the underground cut-off grade.
9. An average metallurgical recovery of 92% for open pit mining, 96% for underground mining, and a royalty rate of 3.0% are assumed.
10. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
11. The Qualified Person (QP) is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

KEY LAKE, CANADA
MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	-	-	-
Indicated	7,738	0.82	205
Measured & Indicated Resources	**7,738**	**0.82**	**205**
Inferred Resources	**4,905**	**1.00**	**158**

Notes

1. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo., and has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. The Mineral Resource estimate was completed in accordance with the CIM Definition Standards (2014) and the CIM Best Practice Guidelines (2019).
3. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves as they do not have demonstrated economic viability.
4. No Mineral Reserves are published at Key Lake
5. Mineral Resources are reported at an open pit minimum recovered gold cut-off grade of 0.18 g/t and is constrained within a pit shell.
6. The optimization of the pit shell is based on a gold price of $2,300/oz, a USD:CAD exchange rate of 1.33, average mining costs of $3.41/t, processing costs of $12.20/t, incremental ore haulage costs of $3.47/t, refining and transportation costs of $3.29/oz of Au recovered, and G&A costs of $6.81/t.
7. The average metallurgical recovery is 90% and a royalty rate of 3.0% are assumed.
8. No underground Mineral Resources are reported.
9. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
10. The Qualified Person (QP) is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

KAILEY, CANADA
MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	-	-	-
Indicated	**12,038**	**0.60**	**231**
Measured & Indicated Resources	**12,038**	**0.60**	**231**
Inferred Resources	**7,758**	**0.55**	**138**

Notes

1. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo., and has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. The Mineral Resource estimate was completed in accordance with the CIM Definition Standards (2014) and the CIM Best Practice Guidelines (2019).
3. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves as they do not have demonstrated economic viability.
4. No Mineral Reserves are published at Kailey.
5. Mineral Resources are quoted at a minimum recovered gold cut-off grade of 0.18 g/t and is constrained within a pit shell.
6. The cut-off grade and pit shell are based on a gold price of $2,300/oz, a USD:CAD exchange rate of 1.33, average mining costs of $3.41/t, processing costs of $12.20/t, incremental ore haulage costs of $1.31/t, refining and transportation costs of $3.29/oz of Au recovered, and G&A costs of $6.81/t.
7. The average metallurgical recovery is 90% and a royalty rate of 3.0% are assumed.
8. No underground Mineral Resources are quoted.
9. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
10. The Qualified Person (QP) is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

HASAGA, CANADA
MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
June 30, 2024 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	-	-	-
Indicated	1,470	8.64	408
Measured & Indicated Resources	**1,470**	**8.64**	**408**
Inferred Resources	**2,059**	**7.31**	**484**

Notes

1. The Hasaga Property Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Trevor Rabb, P.Geo. who is a qualified person (“QP”) as defined by National Instrument 43-101- Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (“NI 43-101”).
2. Mineral Resources from the Hasaga Property presented herein have an effective date of June 30, 2024.
3. Mineral Resources are reported using a cut-off grade of 4.0 g/t gold.
4. Mineral Resources are constrained using wireframes representing continuous blocks with estimated gold grades ≥4 g/t gold, continuous volumes representing >120 kt, and minimum thickness of 1.0 m.
5. The Hasaga Property Mineral Resource statement has been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (May 2016) and the CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (May 2014)
6. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability.
7. Metric tonnes and gold ounces are rounded to the nearest thousand. Any discrepancies in the totals are due to rounding effects.

CASTLE MOUNTAIN, USA
MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
June 30, 2024 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Proven Reserves	81,398	0.57	1,485
Probable Reserves	162,410	0.50	2,620
Proven & Probable Reserves	**243,808**	**0.52**	**4,105**

Notes

1. The Mineral Reserves were prepared by John Nilsson, P.Eng. with an effective date of June 30, 2024.
2. CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (2014) was used for reporting of Mineral Reserves.
3. Mineral Reserves are estimated using a long-term gold price of US$1,350 per troy oz.
4. Mineral Reserves are stated in terms of diluted tonnes and grade, before process recovery.
5. Open pit dilution is applied at 3%.
6. Open pit mining recovery is applied at 97%.
7. Mineral Reserves are defined within a pit design based on a Lerchs-Grossmann optimization.
8. Metallurgical recoveries – Life of Mine average of 73.9% for Run of Mine (ROM) and 94.5% for Milling
9. Cut-off grade – 0.17 g/t for ROM and 1.34 g/t for Milling
10. Tonnage and grade estimates are reported in metric units. Contained Au is reported as troy ounces.
11. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

CASTLE MOUNTAIN, USA
MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
June 30, 2020 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	781	0.68	17
Indicated	73,452	0.62	1,453
Measured & Indicated Resources	**74,234**	**0.62**	**1,470**
Inferred Resources	**69,890**	**0.63**	**1,422**

Notes

1. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Trevor Rabb, P.Geo. (Equity Consultants) who is a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101.
2. Mineral resources from Castle Mountain Project presented herein have an effective date of June 30, 2020.
3. Mineral Resources are reported exclusive of reserves.
4. Mineral Resources are reported using gold price of $1,500/oz gold.
5. Open pit Mineral Resources are reported using a cut-off grade of 0.17 g/t gold and are constrained using an optimized pit generated using Lerchs Grossmann pit optimization algorithm.
6. Mineral Resource estimates for the Castle Mountain project are detailed in the NI 43-101 Technical Report titled 'Technical Report on the Castle Mountain Project Feasibility Study' by Gabriel Secrest, dated March 17, 2021.
7. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability.
8. Any discrepancies in the totals are due to rounding.
9. The Qualified Person (QP) is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

MESQUITE, USA
MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Proven Reserves	1,843	0.63	37
Probable Reserves	20,515	0.36	238
Proven & Probable Reserves	**22,358**	**0.38**	**275**

Notes

1. The Mineral Reserves were prepared by Philippe Lebleu, P.Eng. with an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (2014) was used for reporting of Mineral Reserves.
3. Mineral Reserves are estimated using a long-term gold price of US$2,500 per troy oz.
4. Mineral Reserves are stated after mining dilution and mining recovery. Open pit dilution was applied using a neighboring block dilution method resulting in approximately 3% dilution for insitu material and 6% for waste dump material.
5. Processing cost was assumed at 4.44 $/tonne for oxide ore and 5.09 $/tonne for transition ore.
6. G&A costs are assumed at 1.85 $/tonne.
7. Mining Cost Ore (Rock) $2.20 $/tonne, Ore (Fill) $1.94 $/tonne, Waste (Rock) 1.76 $/tonne and Waste (Fill) 1.50 $/tonne.
8. Processing recovery Oxide 68% and Transition 48%, Transition material contains sulfur from 0.1% - 0.5%
9. Mineral Reserves are defined by pit designs with varying cut-off grades based on the material type (oxide or transition) and processing recovery.
10. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

MESQUITE, USA
MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	6,701	0.51	109
Indicated	76,573	0.40	982
Measured & Indicated Resources	**83,274**	**0.41**	**1,091**
Inferred Resources	**5,590**	**0.32**	**58**

Notes

1. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo. with an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. The Mineral Resource estimate was completed in accordance with the CIM Definition Standards (2014) and the CIM Best Practice Guidelines (2019).
3. Mineral Resources are reported exclusive of mineral reserves.
4. Open pit Mineral Resources are reported using a cut-off grade of 0.10 g/tonne gold for Oxide material and 0.17g/tonne gold for Transitional material and are constrained within an optimized pit using a gold price of $2,500/oz gold.
5. The pit optimization used average mining costs of $2.20 $/tonne for ore material and 1.76 $/tonne for waste, processing costs of 4.44 $/tonne for oxide ore and 5.09 $/tonne for transition ore, and G&A costs of 1.85 $/tonne.
6. The processing recovery for Oxide material is 68% and Transition material is 48%.
7. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability.
8. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.
9. The Qualified Person (QP) is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

EQUINOXGOLD

SOUTH RAILROAD MINE, USA
CONSOLIDATED GOLD MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
September 30, 2025 Effective Date

Category		Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Proven	Oxide	10,585	1.04	354
Probable	Oxide	56,033	0.65	1,162
Total Proven & Probable	**Oxide**	**66,618**	**0.71**	**1,516**

SOUTH RAILROAD MINE, USA
CONSOLIDATED SILVER MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
September 30, 2025 Effective Date

Category		Tonnage (kt)	Silver Grade (g/t)	Contained Silver (koz)
Proven	Oxide	2,113	6.56	445
Probable	Oxide	35,779	5.00	5,749
Total Proven & Probable	**Oxide**	**37,892**	**5.08**	**6,195**

Notes

1. The Mineral Reserve estimates have been prepared in accordance with the CIM Standards.
2. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in summation differences.
3. The Mineral Reserve estimate for South Railroad has an effective date of September 30, 2025
4. Consistent with the Company's other reported Mineral Reserves, the Mineral Reserve estimate for South Railroad has been reported in metric units, which has been converted from Imperial system units currently in use at South Railroad and in the South Railroad Report (as defined below), using a conversion rate of 0.9071847 between short tonnes and metric tonnes and a conversion rate of 34.285718 between oz/short ton and g/metric tonne.
5. The estimate of Mineral Reserves was done by Thomas L. Dyer, PE of RESPEC.
6. Mineral Reserves are reported based on gross metal value (GMV) cutoff grades based on gold prices of $2,300 per ounce Au and silver prices of $25.00 per ounce Ag.
7. Economic parameters and recoveries will be described in the South Railroad Technical Report.
8. Cutoff grades are applied by material type as will be described in the South Railroad Technical Report.
9. As Mineral Reserves were defined using lower metal prices compared to the economic analysis that supports them, resulting Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves are justified.
10. Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves for Pinion include silver as reported above; Silver Mineral Reserves apply to Pinion only, and silver grade is based on Pinion tonnes.
11. The estimate of Mineral Reserves may be materially affected by geology, environment, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.

SOUTH RAILROAD MINE, USA
CONSOLIDATED GOLD MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
September 30, 2025 Effective Date

Category		Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	Oxide	3,023	0.48	47
	Sulphide	0	0.00	0
	Total	3,023	0.48	47
Indicated	Oxide	29,501	0.42	396
	Sulphide	6,762	2.30	500
	Total	36,264	0.77	896
Measured & Indicated Resources	**Oxide**	**32,525**	**0.42**	**443**
	Sulphide	**6,762**	**2.30**	**500**
	Total	**39,287**	**0.75**	**943**
Inferred Resources	**Oxide**	**26,845**	**0.32**	**278**
	Sulphide	**28,869**	**0.79**	**735**
	Total	**55,715**	**0.57**	**1,013**

SOUTH RAILROAD MINE, USA
CONSOLIDATED SILVER MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
September 30, 2025 Effective Date

Category		Tonnage (kt)	Silver Grade (g/t)	Contained Silver (koz)
Measured	Oxide	503	3.93	64
Indicated	Oxide	12,283	2.95	1,166
Measured & Indicated Resources	**Oxide**	**12,786**	**2.99**	**1,229**
Inferred Resources	**Oxide**	**1,302**	**2.65**	**111**

Notes

1. CIM (2014) definitions were followed for estimating Mineral Resources.
2. All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate and therefore numbers may not appear to add precisely. Columns may not sum exactly due to rounding.
3. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. An Inferred Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.

Dark Star, Pinion, Jasperoid Wash and North Bullion Deposits

1. The estimate of Mineral Resources was done by Michael S. Lindholm, CPG of RESPEC in Imperial tons.
2. The base cases for all Mineral Resources are reported at a gold price of $2,800 oz Au and have an effective date of September 30, 2025.
3. Tabulations comprise all model blocks at variable cutoff grades for oxide/transitional and sulphide materials within the $2,800 optimized pits or within a 2.57 Au g/t grade shell for underground. Pit optimizations vary by deposit and throughput rates of 11 kt/day and 18 kt/day; waste mining costs of US$2.34/t mined to US$2.43/t mined; crushing, stacking and heap leaching costs of US$4.01/t to US$4.94/t; and general and administrative costs of $1.26/t. At North Bullion, transportation costs of $44.09/t are applied for shipping refractory material off-site.

4. Recoveries are calculated within each block model, and vary by deposit, ore-type, redox state, sulphide-sulfur and inorganic-carbon content, and gold and silver grade. At Dark Star, assumed minimum metallurgical recoveries of 65% and 70% for gold for ROM and crushed ore, respectively, are applied; At Pinion, assumed variable metallurgical recoveries with base cases at 53% and 70% for gold for ROM and crushed ore, respectively, and base cases at 5% and 15% for silver for ROM and crushed ore, respectively.
5. The average grades of the tabulations are comprised of the weighted average of block-diluted grades within the optimized pits.
6. The Mineral Resources are reported exclusive of Mineral Reserves and are based on the 2026 Technical Report. In the event of any discrepancy between this document and the 2026 Technical Report, the 2026 Technical Report shall prevail and shall constitute the official record of the Mineral Resource estimate.

Pony Creek Deposit

1. The estimate of Mineral Resources was completed by Warren Black, P.Geo, APEX.
2. There are no known legal, political, environmental or other risks that could materially affect the potential development.
3. The reported open-pit Mineral Resources utilize a cutoff of 0.103 Au g/t Au for heap leach (high recovery) and 0.171 Au g/t for vat leach (low recovery) material.
4. Economic assumptions used include US$2,800/oz Au, process recoveries of 75% for Au in heap leach material and 85% for Au in vat leach material, a processing cost of US$1.90/t for heap leach and US$6.70/t for vat leach material, and a G&A cost of US$0.56/t.
5. The base cases for all Mineral Resources have an effective date of September 30, 2025.
6. The constraining pit optimization parameters included a mining cost of US$2.49/t for both mineralized and waste material and assumed pit slope angles of 45°. Economic assumptions used include US$2,800/oz Au, process recoveries of 75% for Au in heap leach material and 85% for Au in vat leach material, a processing cost of US$1.90/t for heap leach and US$6.70/t for vat leach material, and a G&A cost of US$0.56/t.

GOLDEN EAGLE, USA
MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
March 31, 2020 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	30,700	1.49	1,500
Indicated	14,700	1.16	500
Measured & Indicated Resources	**45,400**	**1.37**	**2,000**
Inferred Resources	**5,400**	**0.90**	**200**

Notes

1. The effective date of the Mineral Resource is March 31, 2020.
2. The Qualified Person for this Mineral Resource estimate is Terre Lane of GRE.
3. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not demonstrate economic viability.
4. Numbers in the table have been rounded to reflect accuracy of the estimate and may not sum due to rounding.
5. The Mineral Resource is based on gold cut-off grade of 0.014 troy ounces per short ton (0.48 grams per tonne) at an assumed gold price of $1,500/tr oz, assumed mining cost of $1.06/st waste, assumed mining costs of $2.02/st mineralized mineral, assumed processing case of $12.75/st mineralized material, assumed G&A cost of $0.74/st mineralized material, an assumed metallurgical recovery of 80% and pit slopes of 45 degrees.
6. The pit layback is not constrained to Fiore controlled land. Additional land must be acquired or otherwise made available for the pit layback, waste rock dumps, tailings facilities, and other surface infrastructure.

LOS FILOS, MEXICO
CONSOLIUDATED MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
June 30, 2022 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Gold (koz)	Gold Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained Silver (koz)
Open Pit Proven Reserves	35,154	0.74	837	5.00	5,677
Open Pit Probable Reserves	145,476	0.62	2,921	6.30	29,303
Underground Proven Reserves	299	4.15	40	13.70	132
Underground Probable Reserves	12,297	3.94	1,556	18.90	7,458
Proven & Probable Reserves	**193,226**	**0.86**	**5,354**	**6.90**	**42,570**

Notes

1. CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (CIM, 2014) were used for reporting of Mineral Reserves.
2. The Qualified Person for the open pit estimate is Mr. Eugene Tucker, P.Eng., and for the underground estimate is Mr. Paul Salmenmaki, P.Eng.
3. Effective date of Mineral Reserves is June 30, 2022.
4. Mineral Reserves are estimated using a long-term gold price of US$1,450 per troy oz and a long-term silver price of US$18 per troy oz for all mining areas.
5. Mineral Reserves are stated in terms of delivered tonnes and grade before process recovery.
6. Mineral Reserves are defined by pit optimization and are based on variable break-even cut-offs as generated by process destination and metallurgical recoveries.
7. Metal recoveries are variable dependent on metal head grades, as outlined in Table 15-2 and Table 15-3 of the Technical Report.
8. Open pit dilution is applied at: a. 5% at a zero grade for Au and Ag for Bermejal Open Pit and Guadalupe Open Pit, and b. 7% at zero grade for Au and Ag for Los Filos Open Pit.
9. Open pit mining recovery is applied at: a. 95% for Bermejal Open Pit and Guadalupe Open Pit, and b. 93% for Los Filos Open Pit.
10. Heap leach process recovery varies based on rock type.
11. The Qualified Persons responsible for this item of the Technical Report are not aware of any mining, metallurgical, infrastructure, permitting or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Reserve estimates.
12. Tonnage and grade measurements are in metric units.
13. Contained Au and Ag ounces are reported as troy ounces.
14. Underground Mineral Reserves are reported based on a variable net processing return cut-off value varying between $65.80 and $96.60/t Underground dilution is assigned an average of 10% at a zero grade for Au and Ag.
15. Underground mining recovery is set to 97%.
16. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

LOS FILOS, MEXICO
MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
June 30, 2022 Effective Date

	Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Gold (koz)
Measured	47,306	1.15	1,757
Indicated	278,020	0.69	6,140
Measured & Indicated Resources	**325,326**	**0.75**	**7,897**
Inferred Resources	**135,935**	**0.74**	**3,237**

Notes

1. The Qualified Person responsible for the Mineral Resource estimate is Ali Shahkar, P.Eng., and has an effective date of June 30, 2022.
2. Mineral Resources are stated exclusive of Mineral Reserves.
3. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. M
4. Mineral Resources are reported at a gold price of US$1,550/oz and a silver price of US$18/oz.
5. Open pit Mineral Resources are defined within pit shells that use variable mining and recovery estimates depending on the geometallurgical domain and whether mineralization is projected to report to crush–leach, run-of-mine or CIL for processing requirements.
6. Open pit Mineral Resources are reported at a gold cut-off grade of 0.2 g/t.

7. Open pit Mineral Resources use variable mining costs of US$1.27–$1.43/t and variable processing costs of US$3.40–$12.81/t. Recovery ranges from 50%–85% depending on ore treatment method. Underground Mineral Resources use variable mining costs of US$57.21–$93.12/t and variable processing costs of US$9.53–$11.64/t, and a process recovery of 90%–95%.
8. Underground Mineral Resources are reported to a gold cut-off grade: Los Filos South Underground, 1.71 g/t Au; Los Filos North Underground, 2.05 g/t Au; Bermejal underground 2.71 g/t Au.
9. Quantity of material is rounded to the nearest 1,000 tonnes; grades are rounded to two decimal places for Au, one decimal place for Ag; rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent summation differences.

CAMINO ROJO, MEXICO
MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

Category		Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)	Silver Grade (g/t)	Contained Silver (koz)
Proven	Oxide	2,920	0.73	68	18.07	1,697
Probable	Oxide	28,794	0.75	690	14.67	13,584
Total Proven & Probable	**Oxide**	**31,714**	**0.74**	**759**	**14.99**	**15,281**

NOTES

1. The Mineral Reserve estimates have been prepared in accordance with the CIM Standards.
2. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in summation differences.
3. The estimate of Mineral Reserves may be materially affected by geology, environment, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.
4. The Mineral Reserve estimate for Camino Rojo has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
5. Stephen Ling, P.Eng. Of Orla Mining is the qualified person responsible for the Mineral Reserve estimate for Camino Rojo.
6. Mineral Reserves are based on prices of $2,300/oz gold and $25/oz silver.
7. Mineral Reserves are based on net smelter returns (“NSR”) cut-off of $8.44 per tonne
8. NSR value for leach material is as follows:
    a. Kp Oxide: NSR ($/t) = 50.12 x gold (g/t) + 0.078 x silver (g/t), based on gold recovery of 70% and silver recovery of 11%.
    b. Ki Oxide: NSR ($/t) = 40.10 x gold (g/t) + 0.107 x silver (g/t), based on gold recovery of 56% and silver recovery of 15%.
    c. Tran-Hi: NSR ($/t) = 42.96 x gold (g/t) + 0.192 x silver (g/t), based on gold recovery of 60% and silver recovery of 27%.
    d. Tran-Lo: NSR ($/t) = 28.64 x gold (g/t) + 0.242 x silver (g/t), based on gold recovery of 40% and silver recovery of 34%.
9. The NSR values account for metal recoveries, refining costs, and refinery payable percentages.
10. Stockpiles are all derived from Camino Rojo mined material and are calculated using reconciled production figures adjusted for mining accuracy. Stockpile grades are calculated from grade control block grades. For the stockpile, no cut-off grade is used for reporting

CAMINO ROJO, MEXICO
CONSOLIDATED MINERAL RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

Category		Tonnage (kt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Contained Gold (koz)	Silver Grade (g/t)	Contained Silver (koz)
Measured	Oxide	341	0.70	8	9.34	102
	Sulphide	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Total	341	0.70	8	9.32	102
Indicated	Oxide	9,984	1.17	375	9.40	3,018
	Sulphide	48,178	2.46	3,805	11.17	17,308
	Total	58,162	2.24	4,180	10.87	20,326
Measured & Indicated	**Oxide**	**10,325**	**1.15**	**383**	**9.40**	**3,120**
	Sulphide	**48,178**	**2.46**	**3,805**	**11.17**	**17,308**
	Total	**58,503**	**2.23**	**4,187**	**10.86**	**20,428**
Inferred Resources	**Oxide**	**1,636**	**0.95**	**50**	**12.97**	**682**
	Sulphide	**4,045**	**2.48**	**323**	**10.90**	**1,418**
	Total	**5,681**	**2.04**	**373**	**11.50**	**2,100**

CAMINO ROJO, MEXICO
CONSOLIDATED MINERAL ZINC RESOURCES ESTIMATE (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

Category		Tonnage (kt)	Zinc Grade (%)	Zinc (Mlbs)
Measured	Sulphide	0	0.00	0
Indicated	Sulphide	48,178	0.38%	403
Measured & Indicated Resources	**Sulphide**	**48,178**	**0.38%**	**403**
Inferred Resources	**Sulphide**	**4,045**	**0.65%**	**58**

Notes

1. CIM (2014) definitions were followed for estimating Mineral Resources.
2. All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate and therefore numbers may not appear to add precisely. Columns may not sum exactly due to rounding.
3. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. An Inferred Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.
4. Marie-Christine Gosselin, P.Geo. of SLR Consulting (Canada) Ltd. is the qualified person responsible for the Mineral Resource estimate for Camino Rojo
5. The effective date of the open pit Mineral Resource (predominantly oxide) is December 31, 2025. The effective date of the underground Mineral Resource (predominantly sulphide) is September 30, 2025.
6. Mineral Resources are estimated in the optimized pit shell at a NSR cut-off value of $8.44/t for leach material and $14.06/t for Mill material, while the underground reporting shapes are using a NSR cut-off value for long-hole stoping of $57/t for heap leach material and $63/t for mill material were applied. For cut-and-fill mining, NSR cut-off values of $66/t for heap leach material and $72/t for mill material were used. Stockpiles are using a cut-off grade of 0.21 g/t Au.
7. Mineral Resources are estimated using a long-term price of $2,800 per ounce for gold, $33 per ounce for silver, and $1.25 per pound for zinc, with an US$:C$ exchange rate of 1:1.34.
8. Bulk density varies from 2.40 t/m3 to 2.67 t/m3 for the mineralization and estimation domains and 2.0 t/m3 for the overburden.
9. Metallurgical recoveries vary according to geometallurgical domains and process type (Leach or Mill) and are either a constant or formula based. Heap leach recoveries range from 40% to 70% for gold and 11% to 34% for silver. For mill flotation concentrate, recoveries range from 80% to 89% for gold, 52% to 86% for silver, and 87% to 90% for zinc; zinc recovery is assumed to be 0% for the Transition and S1a_CAR geometallurgical domains.
10. The NSR is calculated by material type with the following formulas:
11. Heap Leach Material NSR ($/t) = (Au grade (g/t) x (((2,800-1.69) x Au recovery Heap Leach x 0.999 x (1-0.03)) / 31.103477)) + (Ag grade (g/t) x (((33-1.69) x Ag recovery Heap Leach x 0.98 x (1-0.03)) / 31.103477))
12. Mill Material NSR ($/t) = (Au NSP ($/g Au) x Au grade (g/t)) + (Ag NSP ($/g Ag) x Ag grade (g/t)) + (Zn NSP ($/g Zn) x Zn grade (ppm))
13. The gold equivalent (AuEq) by material types are calculated with the following formulas:
    a. Heap Leach Material AuEq = Au grade (g/t) + (Ag NSP ($/g) / Au NSP ($/g) x Ag grade (g/t)).
    b. Mill Material AuEq = Au grade (g/t) + (Ag NSP ($/g) / Au NSP ($/g) x Ag grade (g/t)) + ((Zn NSP ($/lb) x 2,204.62 / 100 / Au NSP ($/g)) x Zn grade (ppm) / 10,000))
14. Mineral Resources are constrained by an optimized resource pit shell and underground resource panels with a minimum mining width of 2 m for long-hole stoping and 5 m for cut-and-fill.
15. The Mineral Resources are reported exclusive of Mineral Reserves and are based on Orla Mining's 2025 Annual Information Form (2025 AIF). In the event of any discrepancy between this document and the 2025 AIF, the 2025 AIF shall prevail and shall constitute the official record of the Mineral Resource estimate.

NICARAGUA OPERATIONS, NICARAGUA
CONSOLIDATED MINERAL RESOURCE AND RESERVE ESTIMATE
December 31, 2025 Effective Date (unless otherwise noted)

	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Au (koz)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained Ag (koz)
Probable Reserves	**9,062**	**4.01**	**1,169**	**15.90**	**4,625**
El Limon Complex	6,050	4.12	802	3.17	616
La Libertad Complex	3,012	3.79	367	41.40	4,009
Measured & Indicated Resources (exclusive of Reserves)	**14,015**	**2.01**	**904**	**4.33**	**1,952**
El Limon Complex	10,579	1.82	621	0.62	212
La Libertad Complex	3,436	2.56	283	15.75	1,740
Inferred Resources	**60,206**	**1.29**	**2,500**	**4.13**	**7,998**
El Limon Complex	6,696	3.62	777	2.20	474
La Libertad Complex	2,484	2.89	233	34.70	2,768
Cerro Aeropuerto (April 11, 2011)	6,052	3.64	708	16.16	3,145
Primavera (January 31, 2017)	44,974	0.54	782	1.15	1,611

See Notes below.

NICARAGUA OPERATIONS, NICARAGUA
MINERAL RESERVES ESTIMATE
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Category	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Au (koz)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained Ag (koz)
Limon UG	Probable	1,574	6.87	348	8.44	427
Limon OP	Probable	3,897	3.28	411	1.51	189
Limon Stockpile	Probable	579	2.31	43	0	0
Subtotal Limon	**Probable**	6,050	4.12	802	3.17	616
Libertad Complex UG	Probable	874	4.98	140	87.17	2,451
Libertad Complex OP	Probable	2,040	3.25	213	23.75	1,558
Libertad Stockpiles	Probable	97	4.32	13	0	0
Subtotal Libertad Complex	**Probable**	3,012	3.79	367	41.40	4,009
Total Mineral Reserves	**Probable**	**9,062**	**4.01**	**1,169**	**15.87**	**4,624**

See Notes below.

NICARAGUA OPERATIONS, NICARAGUA
INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES (Exclusive of Reserves)
December 31, 2025 Effective Date

	Category	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Au (koz)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained Ag (koz)
Limon UG	Indicated	2,258	3.95	287	2.57	186
Limon OP	Indicated	991	2.18	71	0.82	26
Limon Stockpile	Indicated	-	-	-	-	-
Tailings	Indicated	7,329	1.12	263	-	-
Subtotal Limon	**Indicated**	10,579	1.82	621	0.62	212
Libertad Complex UG	Indicated	849	3.65	100	40.2	1,097
Libertad Complex OP	Indicated	2,587	2.20	183	7.7	643
Libertad & Pavon Stockpiles	Indicated	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal Libertad Complex	**Indicated**	3,436	2.56	283	15.7	1,740
Total Indicated Mineral Resources	**Indicated**	**14,015**	**2.00**	**904**	**4.33**	**1,952**

See Notes below.

NICARAGUA OPERATIONS, NICARAGUA
INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES
December 31, 2025 Effective Date (unless otherwise noted)

	Category	Tonnage (kt)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Au (koz)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained Ag (koz)
Limon UG	Inferred	5,503	3.80	672	2.42	428
Limon OP	Inferred	1,193	2.80	105	1.18	45
Subtotal Limon	**Inferred**	6,696	3.62	777	2.20	474
Libertad Complex UG	Inferred	1,048	3.32	112	77.2	2,602
Libertad Complex OP	Inferred	1,436	2.58	121	3.67	166
Subtotal Libertad Complex	**Inferred**	2,484	2.89	233	34.7	2,768
Cerro Aeropuerto (April 11, 2011)	Inferred	6,052	3.64	708	16.16	3,145
Primavera (January 31, 2017)	Inferred	44,974	0.54	782	1.15	1,611
Total Inferred Mineral Resources	**Inferred**	**69,386**	**1.57**	**3,510**	**5.04**	**11,239**

See Notes below.

Notes – La Libertad Complex Mineral Resources

1. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo., and has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. The Mineral Resource estimate was completed in accordance with the CIM Definition Standards (2014) and the CIM Best Practice Guidelines (2019).
3. Mineral Resources are reported exclusive of Mineral Reserves.
4. Mineral Resources assume a long-term gold price of $1,800/oz for all deposits. A long-term silver price of US$23/oz applies to all deposits.
5. Open pit Mineral Resources are reported within an optimized pit shell above cut-off grades ranging from 0.67 g/t Au to 2.21 g/t Au.
6. Minimum mining widths of approximately 1.0 to 2.0 m were used to model Underground Mineral Resources.
7. Underground Mineral Resources are reported within resource panels generated at cut-off grades from 1.65 g/t Au to 3.52 g/t Au.
8. Bulk densities vary by deposit and weathering stage and range from 1.70 t/m3 to 2.70 t/m3.
9. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
10. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
11. The Qualified Person (QP) is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

Notes – La Libertad Complex Mineral Reserves

1. The Mineral Reserve statement has been prepared by Matthew MacPhail, P.Eng., who is a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101.
2. CIM (2014) definitions were followed for Mineral Reserves.
3. All Mineral Reserves are classified as Probable Mineral Reserves.
4. Mineral Reserves are estimated assuming a long-term gold price of US$2,400/oz for near-term deposits (producing or expected production within 3 years) and a long-term gold price of $1,800/oz at all other deposits. A long-term silver price of US$23/oz applies to all deposits.
5. Open pit Mineral Reserves are estimated at the cut-off grades ranging from 0.0.79 g/t Au to 2.40 g/t Au.
6. All open pit Mineral Reserve estimates incorporate dilution built in during the re-blocking process and assume 100% mining recovery.
7. Underground Mineral Reserves are estimated at fully costed cut-off grades ranging from 2.37 g/t Au to 3.34 g/t Au, and incremental cut-off grades ranging from 1.74 g/t Au to 2.33 g/t Au.
8. All underground Mineral Reserve estimates incorporate estimates of dilution and mining losses.
9. Minimum mining widths ranging from 1.5 m to 2.0 m are used for UG Mineral Reserves reporting depending on orebody geometry and mining methods.
10. Mining extraction factors ranging from 90% to 95% were applied to underground stope designs. Mining extraction factors of 90 to 95% were applied to underground stopes depending on mining method and stope geometry. Where required, a pillar factor was also applied for sill or crown pillars. A 100% extraction factor is assumed for ore encountered during mine access development.
11. Bulk densities vary by deposit and weathering stage and range from 1.70 t/m^3 to 2.70 t/m^3. Underground backfill density is 1.00 t/m^3.
12. Mineral Reserves are reported in dry metric tonnes.
13. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
14. The Qualified Person (QP) is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Reserve estimate.

Notes – El Limon Complex Mineral Resources

1. The Mineral Resource statement has been prepared by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo., and has an effective date of December 31, 2025.
2. The Mineral Resource estimate was completed in accordance with the CIM Definition Standards (2014) and the CIM Best Practice Guidelines (2019).
3. Mineral Resources are reported exclusive of Mineral Reserves.
4. The open pit Mineral Resources for Limon Norte, Pozo Bono, Hagie and Babilonia open pits assume a gold price of US$2,500/oz and a silver price of US$23/oz. For all other deposits at El Limon, the Mineral Resources open pit assume a long-term gold price of US$1,800/oz and a long-term silver price of US$23/oz.
5. The underground Mineral Resources for Babilonia and Talavera assume gold prices of $US2,400/oz and $US2,500/oz, respectively.
6. Open pit Mineral Resources are reported within an optimized pit shell above cut-off grades ranging from 0.69 g/t Au to 1.03 g/t Au.
7. Minimum mining widths of approximately 1.0 to 2.0 m were used to model Underground Mineral Resources.
8. Underground Mineral Resource are reported within resource panels generated at cut-off grades from 1.17 g/t Au to 2.94 g/t Au.
9. Bulk densities vary by deposit and weathering stage and range from 1.70 t/m^3 to 2.85 t/m^3. Bulk densities for Tailings material range from 1.29 t/m^3 to 1.33 t/m^3.
10. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
11. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
12. The QP is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Resource estimate.

Notes – El Limon Complex Mineral Reserves

1. The Mineral Reserve statement has been prepared by Matthew MacPhail, P.Eng., who is a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101.
2. All Mineral Reserves are classified as Probable Mineral Reserves.
3. Mineral Reserves are estimated assuming a long-term gold price of US$2,400/oz for near-term deposits (producing or expected production within 3 years) and a long-term gold price of $1,800/oz at all other deposits. A long-term silver price of US$23/oz applies to all deposits.
4. Open pit (OP) Mineral Reserves are estimated at cut-off grades ranging from 0.72 g/t Au to 1.08 g/t Au.

5. Underground (UG) Mineral Reserves are estimated at fully costed cut-off grades ranging from 1.73 g/t Au to 3.12 g/t Au, and incremental cut-off grades ranging from 1.30 g/t Au to 2.59 g/t Au.
6. Fully costed cut-off grades include sustaining capital cost allocations for processing.
7. All Mineral Reserve estimates incorporate estimates of dilution and mining losses.
8. Mining extraction factors of 90 to 95% were applied to underground stopes depending on mining method and stope geometry. Where required, a pillar factor was also applied for sill or crown pillars. A 100% extraction factor is assumed for ore encountered during mine access development.
9. Minimum mining widths range from 1.5 m to 2.0 m depending on mining method and stope geometry.
10. Bulk densities vary between 2.30 t/m^3 and 2.41 t/m^3 for all open pit Mineral Reserves and between 2.47 t/m^3 and 2.50 t/m^3 for all underground Mineral Reserves.
11. Mineral Reserves are reported in dry metric tonnes.
12. Numbers may not add due to rounding.
13. The Qualified Person (QP) is not aware of any environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socioeconomic, marketing, political, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Mineral Reserve estimate.

Notes – Cerro Aeropuerto (Borosi) Mineral Resources

1. The effective date of the Mineral Resource is April 11, 2011.
2. CIM definition standards were followed for the Mineral Resource estimate.
3. The 2011 Mineral Resource models used Inverse Distance grade estimation within a three-dimensional block model with mineralized zones defined by wireframed solids.
4. A base cut-off grade of 0.6 g/t AuEq was used for reporting Mineral Resources.
5. Gold Equivalent (AuEq) grades were calculated using $1,058/oz Au for gold and $16.75/oz Ag for silver and metallurgical recoveries and net smelter returns are assumed to be 100%.
6. Mineral Resource Estimates for Cerro Aeropuerto are detailed in the technical report titled 'NI 43-101 Technical Report and Resource Estimation of the Cerro Aeropuerto and La Luna Deposits, Borosi Concessions, Nicaragua' by Todd McCracken, dated April 11, 2011.
7. The quantity and grade of reported inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as an indicated or measured Mineral Resource. It is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an indicated or measured mineral resource category.
8. Numbers may not add exactly due to rounding.
9. Mineral Resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Notes – Primavera (Borosi) Mineral Resources

1. The effective date of the Mineral Resource is January 31, 2017.
2. CIM (2014) definition standards were followed for the Mineral Resource estimate.
3. The 2016 Mineral Resource models used Ordinary Kriging grade estimation within a three-dimensional block model with mineralized zones defined by wireframed solids (HG=high grade, LG= low grade, sap=saprolite).
4. A base cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t AuEq was used for reporting Mineral Resources.
5. Gold Equivalent (AuEq) grades have been calculated using $1300/oz Au for gold, $2.40/lb for Copper, and $20.00/oz Ag for silver and metallurgical recoveries are assumed to be equal for all metals.
6. Mineral Resource estimates for the Primavera project are detailed in the NI 43-101 Technical Report titled 'Primavera Project 'by Todd McCracken, dated January 31, 2017.
7. The quantity and grade of reported Inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these Inferred resources as an indicated or measured resource. It is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to indicated or measure mineral resource category.
8. Numbers may not add exactly due to rounding.
9. Mineral Resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
10. Primavera copper resource includes 218,670,000 pounds of copper at a grade of 0.22% Cu, 0.84 g/t AuEq.

National Instrument 43-101
Readers are reminded that results outlined in the technical reports for some of these projects are preliminary in nature and may include Inferred Mineral Resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as Mineral Reserves.

There is no certainty that the mine plans and economic models contained in any of the reports will be realized. Readers are further cautioned that Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Readers are also advised to refer to the latest annual information form and technical reports of the Companies as well as other continuous disclosure documents filed by the Companies, which are available on SEDAR+, for detailed information (including qualifications, assumptions and notes set out accordingly) regarding the Mineral Reserve and Mineral Resource information contained in this document.

Cautionary Note to U.S. Readers Concerning Estimates of Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources.
Disclosure regarding mineral properties included in this document was prepared in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (***NI 43-101***). NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators that establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. NI 43-101 differs significantly from the disclosure requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the ***SEC***) generally applicable to U.S. companies. Accordingly, information contained in this document is not comparable to similar information made public by U.S. companies reporting pursuant to SEC disclosure requirements.

Scientific and technical information concerning the Los Filos Mine Complex is summarized, derived, or extracted from the "Updated Technical Report for the Los Filos Mine Complex, Mexico" dated June 30, 2022 with an effective date of October 19, 2022. This technical Report has been filed with Canadian securities regulatory authorities and is available for review on Equinox Gold's website at www.equinoxgold.com, on Equinox Gold's profile on SEDAR+ at www.sedarplus.ca and on Equinox Gold's profile on EDGAR at www.sec.gov/edgar.

Scientific and technical information concerning the Greenstone Gold Mine is summarized, derived, or extracted from the "NI 43-101 Technical Report, Greenstone Property, Ontario" dated March 30, 2026 with an effective date of December 31, 2025. This technical Report has been filed with Canadian securities regulatory authorities and is available for review on Equinox Gold's website at www.equinoxgold.com, on Equinox Gold's profile on SEDAR+ at www.sedarplus.ca and on Equinox Gold's profile on EDGAR at www.sec.gov/edgar.

Scientific and technical information concerning the Valentine Mine is summarized, derived, or extracted from the "NI 43-101 Technical Report, Valentine Gold Mine, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada" dated March 30, 2026 with an effective date of December 31, 2025. This technical Report has been filed with Canadian securities regulatory authorities and is available for review on Equinox Gold's website at www.equinoxgold.com, on Calibre Mining's profile on SEDAR+ at www.sedarplus.ca and on Calibre Mining's profile on EDGAR at www.sec.gov/edgar.

Scientific and technical information concerning the Castle Mountain Mine is summarized, derived, or extracted from the "Technical Report on the Castle Mountain Project Feasibility Study" prepared by M3 Engineering & Technology Corp. dated March 17, 2021 with an effective date of February 26, 2021. This technical Report has been filed with Canadian securities regulatory authorities and is available for review on Equinox Gold's website at www.equinoxgold.com, on Equinox Gold's profile on SEDAR+ at www.sedarplus.ca and on Equinox Gold's profile on EDGAR at www.sec.gov/edgar.

Scientific and technical information concerning the Camino Rojo Mine is summarized, derived, or extracted from the "NI 43-101 Technical Report Camino Rojo Project, Zacatecas State, Mexico" dated March 18, 2026, with an effective date of September 30, 2025. This technical Report has been filed with Canadian securities regulatory authorities and is available for review on Equinox Gold's website at www.equinoxgold.com, on Orla Mining's profile on SEDAR+ at www.sedarplus.ca and on Orla Mining's profile on EDGAR at www.sec.gov/edgar.

Scientific and technical information concerning the Musselwhite Mine is summarized, derived, or extracted from the "Technical Report – Musselwhite Mine Project, Ontario, Canada" with an effective date of November 18, 2024. This

technical Report has been filed with Canadian securities regulatory authorities and is available for review on Equinox Gold's website at www.equinoxgold.com, on Orla Mining's profile on SEDAR+ at www.sedarplus.ca and on Orla Mining's profile on EDGAR at www.sec.gov/edgar.

Scientific and technical information concerning the South Railroad Project is summarized, derived, or extracted from the "South Railroad Project NI 43-101 Feasibility Study Update, Elko County, Nevada" dated February 27, 2026, with an effective date of September 30, 2025. This technical Report has been filed with Canadian securities regulatory authorities and is available for review on Equinox Gold's website at www.equinoxgold.com, on Orla Mining's profile on SEDAR+ at www.sedarplus.ca and on Orla Mining's profile on EDGAR at www.sec.gov/edgar.

Scientific and technical information for the Greenstone, Castle Mountain, Mesquite, and Los Filos properties has been reviewed by Philippe Lebleu, P.Eng., SVP Mining Technical Services for Equinox Gold, who is a "Qualified Person" under NI 43-101. Scientific and technical information and for the Valentine, El Limon, and La Libertad properties has been reviewed by Matt MacPhail, P.Eng., SVP Business Planning & Technical Services for Equinox Gold, who is a "Qualified Person" under NI 43-101. Scientific and technical information for Musselwhite has been reviewed by Jack Lawson, P.Eng. of Musselwhite Mine, and by Mark Williams, P.Geo of Musselwhite Mine, both of whom are a "Qualified Person" under NI 43-101. Scientific and technical information for Camino Rojo has been reviewed by Stephen Ling, P.Eng., VP Technical Services for Equinox Gold who is a "Qualified Person" under NI 43-101. Scientific and technical information for South Railroad has been reviewed by Niel de Bruin, P.Geo., VP Mineral Resources for Equinox Gold, who is a "Qualified Person" under NI 43-101.